

**APR 12 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

DOVLAT SAIDOV,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-74218

Agency No. A79-536-973

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 5, 2006\*\*

Before: HAWKINS, McKEOWN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Dovlat Saidov, a native of Iran and a citizen of Armenia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order summarily affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying her applications for asylum, withholding

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of removal, and relief under the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review adverse credibility findings for substantial evidence, *Chebchoub v. INS*, 257 F.3d 1038, 1042 (9th Cir. 2001), and we deny the petition for review.

Saidov testified that due to her ethnicity she was detained and beaten in 1990, and that during the same incident her husband was also detained, beaten and lost his right eye; yet her son-in-law testified that Saidov’s husband lost his eye at least seven years later. *See id.* at 1043 (one material inconsistency can be sufficient to support an adverse credibility determination). Furthermore, Saidov stated in her application that she was beaten until she lost consciousness, yet she failed to mention this in her testimony. *See id.* Finally, Saidov testified that in 1998 the wooden shed where she slept was burned down, yet she failed to include this event in her otherwise detailed application. *See id.* Accordingly, substantial evidence supports the IJ’s adverse credibility determination.

In the absence of credible testimony, Saidov failed to demonstrate eligibility for asylum, withholding of removal and CAT relief. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 2003).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**